

Spectroscopic Characterization of the Bridging Amine in the Active Site of [FeFe] Hydrogenase Using Isotopologues of the H-Cluster

Agnieszka Adamska-Venkatesh,[†] Souvik Roy,[‡] Judith F. Siebel,[†] Trevor R. Simmons,^{‡,||} Marc Fontecave,^{‡,§} Vincent Artero,[‡] Edward Reijerse,^{*,†} and Wolfgang Lubitz^{*,†}

[†]Max-Planck-Institut für Chemische Energiekonversion, Stiftstrasse 34-36, 45470 Mülheim an der Ruhr, Germany

[‡]Laboratoire de Chimie et Biologie des Métaux, Université Grenoble Alpes, CEA, CNRS, 17 rue des martyrs, 38000 Grenoble, France [§]Laboratoire de Chimie des Processus Biologiques, Collège de France, Université Pierre et Marie Curie, CNRS UMR 8229, 11 place Marcelin Berthelot, 75005 Paris, France

Supporting Information

ABSTRACT: The active site of [FeFe] hydrogenase contains a catalytic binuclear iron subsite coordinated by CN⁻ and CO ligands as well as a unique azadithiolate (adt^{2-}) bridging ligand. It has been established that this binuclear cofactor is synthesized and assembled by three maturation proteins HydE, -F, and -G. By means of in vitro maturation in the presence of ¹⁵N- and ¹³C-labeled tyrosine it has been shown that the CN⁻ and CO ligands originate from tyrosine. The source of the bridging adt²⁻ ligand, however, remains unknown. In order to identify the nitrogen of the bridging amine using HYSCORE spectroscopy and distinguish its spectroscopic signature from that of the CN⁻ nitrogens, we studied three isotopelabeled variants of the H-cluster (15N-adt2-/C14N-, 15Nadt²⁻/C¹⁵N⁻, and ¹⁴N-adt²⁻/C¹⁵N⁻) and extracted accurate values of the hyperfine and quadrupole couplings of both CN⁻ and adt²⁻ nitrogens. This will allow an evaluation of isotopologues of the H-cluster generated by in vitro bioassembly in the presence of various ¹⁵N-labeled potential precursors as possible sources of the bridging ligand.

H ydrogenases catalyze the reversible formation and oxidation of molecular hydrogen.^{1,2} In [FeFe] hydrogenases, a binuclear iron cofactor coordinated by CO and CN⁻ ligands and featuring an open coordination site has been identified as the catalytic center.³ This [2Fe] subsite is connected through a bridging cysteine to a [4Fe-4S] cluster, forming the so-called "H-cluster" (Figure 1). Of particular



Figure 1. Schematic representation of the structure of the H-cluster. The atoms labeled in this work with 13 C and 15 N are marked in colors.

importance is the bridging 2-azapropane-1,3-dithiolate (or azadithiolate, adt²⁻) ligand connecting the two irons in the $[2Fe]_{H}$ subsite. The central amine is believed to play a key role as a proton shuttle in the catalytic cycle.⁴⁻⁶ It has recently been shown that the binuclear part of the H-cluster can be reconstituted inside the unmaturated form of the [FeFe] hydrogenase, which contains only the $[4Fe-4S]_{H}$ subcluster, using a synthetic binuclear precursor $[Fe_2(adt)(CO)_4-(CN)_2]^{2-.7,8}$ It is believed that a similar assembly process occurs in vivo, where the binuclear subsite is first synthesized by the radical S-adenosylmethionine maturation proteins HydE and HydG and subsequently inserted into the unmaturated [FeFe] hydrogenase using the third maturation protein HydF.^{3,9} The groups of Broderick and Roach demonstrated that the CN^- and CO ligands of $[2Fe]_H$ are synthesized from the substrate tyrosine by HydG.⁹ Subsequent extensive electron paramagnetic resonance (EPR) and Fourier transform IR experiments by Britt and Swartz using ¹⁵N/¹³C-labeled tyrosine and ⁵⁷Fe-labeled HydG revealed that the first step in [2Fe]_H synthesis is the formation of a cysteine-coordinated Fe- $(CO)_2(CN)$ synthon on HydG.^{10–13} The origin of the adt^{2–} ligand, however, remains a mystery. It is speculated that HydE is involved in the synthesis of adt^{2–} and the further assembly of the [2Fe]_H precursor using an as-yet unknown substrate. In order to identify this substrate, an elegant strategy would be to ¹⁵N-label potential candidates and analyze the electron spin echo envelope modulation (ESEEM) signals of the bioassembled H-cluster, looking for a specific ¹⁵N signal of the ¹⁵N-labeled adt²⁻ ligand. In order to distinguish the adt²⁻ nitrogen signal from the overlapping CN⁻ signal, we prepared three isotope-labeled variants of the H-cluster (15N-adt2-/ $C^{14}N$, ^{15}N -adt²⁻/ $C^{15}N$, and ^{14}N -adt²⁻/ $C^{15}N$) using the in vitro method that we recently reported⁸ and extracted accurate values of the hyperfine and quadrupole couplings of both the CN^{-} and adt^{2-} nitrogens.

The [FeFe] hydrogenase from *Chlamydomonas reinhardtii* (CrHydA1) was prepared in the active oxidized state (H_{ox}) according to previously reported procedures.⁵ In this state, the $[2Fe]_{H}$ subcluster is formally in an Fe^IFe^{II} mixed-valence configuration, but the spin density is highly delocalized over the

Received:June 16, 2015Published:September 22, 2015



Figure 2. (A) X-band free induction decay-detected EPR spectrum of labeled CrHydA1(adt) (15 N-adt²⁻/C¹⁵N⁻) together with simulations. The **g** tensor positions for H_{ox} ($g_1 = 2.104$, $g_2 = 2.043$, $g_3 = 2.000$) and H_{ox}-CO ($g_1 = 2.057$, $g_2 = 2.011$, $g_3 = 2.008$) are marked above the spectrum. (B) Q-band Davies ENDOR spectrum of 15 C-CN⁻-labeled CrHydA1(adt) recorded at the field position corresponding to the g_2 position of H_{ox} together with its simulation. The assignment of the hyperfine splitting is indicated above the figure. The Davies ENDOR spectrum was simulated using the hyperfine parameters indicated in Table S1.



Figure 3. X-band HYSCORE spectra (20 K, $\tau = 180$ ns) recorded at the three canonical positions for CrHydA1(adt) in the H_{ox} state (see Figure 2) with selective isotope-labeling combinations (¹⁵N-adt²⁻/C¹⁵N⁻, ¹⁵N-adt²⁻/C¹⁴N⁻, and ¹⁴N-adt²⁻/C¹⁵N⁻). All spectra were simulated using parameters from Tables 1 and 2; the simulations for the CN⁻ ligands are presented in green and those for the adt²⁻ ligand in red, superimposed on the experimental results.

iron centers in both the $[2Fe]_{H}$ subcluster and the $[4Fe-4S]_{H}$ subcluster, making it a perfect candidate to observe signals from the CN⁻ and adt²⁻ ligands.^{14,15} In practice, preparations of the H_{ox} state are often contaminated with variable contributions from the CO-inhibited state $(H_{ox}$ -CO)¹⁴ (in our case \approx 50%). The EPR signals of H_{ox} and H_{ox}-CO overlap (see Figure 2A). In a recent study, we demonstrated that the oxidized CrHydA1(pdt) hybrid (pdt²⁻ = propane-1,3-dithiolate, in which CH₂ replaces NH as the head group in the dithiolate bridge) exhibits a pure signal spectroscopically identical to that

of the H_{ox} state in CrHydA1(adt).¹⁶ The ¹³C hyperfine interaction (HFI) from the two CN⁻ ligands differs by a factor of 5. Scaling the CN⁻ ¹⁴N HFI tensor by the same amount would account for the fact that only one CN⁻ ligand can be observed in ^{15/14}N hyperfine sublevel correlation spectroscopy (HYSCORE) experiments on oxidized CrHydA1(pdt).¹⁶ As shown in Table S1 and Figure S1, the obtained ¹³C hyperfine parameters for oxidized CrHydA1(pdt) and $H_{ox}(adt)$ are identical, allowing the assumption that also in $H_{ox}(adt)$ only the nitrogen HFI can be detected from one of the CN⁻ ligands.

Table 1. Principal Values^{*a*} of the ¹⁵N Hyperfine Tensors of the adt^{2-} and CN^{-} Ligands of CrHydA1(adt) in the H_{ox} State Compared to Those of Oxidized CrHydA1(pdt)¹⁶

		A_1 (MHz)	A_2 (MHz)	A_3 (MHz)	$A_{\rm iso}~({\rm MHz})$	α (deg)	β (deg)	γ (deg)			
CrHydA1(adt) in H _{ox}	adt ^{2–}	1.9 (0.1)	1.6 (0.1)	1.6 (0.1)	1.7	0 (10)	0 (10)	0 (10)			
	CN^{-}	-1.3(0.2)	-1.1(0.2)	5.9 (0.2)	1.2	0 (10)	45 (10)	90 (10)			
oxidized CrHydA1(pdt)	CN^{-}	-1.3 (0.2)	-1.1(0.2)	6.2 (0.2)	1.3	0 (10)	50 (10)	90 (10)			
^a The signs of the hyperfine couplings cannot be determined. Numbers in parentheses are uncertainties.											

Table 2. Principal Values of the ¹⁴N Hyperfine and Quadrupole Tensors of the adt^{2-} and CN^{-} Ligands of CrHydA1(adt) in the H_{ox} State Compared to Those of Oxidized CrHydA1(pdt)¹⁶

Hyperfine Coupling												
		A_1 (MHz)	A_2 (MHz)	A_3 (MHz)	$A_{\rm iso}~({\rm MHz})$	α (deg)	β (deg)	γ (deg)				
CrHydA1(adt) in H _{ox}	adt ²⁻	1.35 (0.1)	1.15 (0.1)	1.15 (0.1)	1.2	0 (10)	0 (10)	0 (10)				
	CN^{-}	-0.9(0.2)	-0.8(0.2)	4.2 (0.2)	0.8	0 (10)	45 (10)	90 (10)				
oxidized CrHydA1(pdt)	CN^{-}	-0.9(0.2)	-0.8 (0.2)	4.4 (0.2)	0.9	0 (10)	50 (10)	90 (10)				
Quadrupole Coupling												
		<i>K</i> (MHz) η		η	α (deg)	β (deg)		γ (deg)				
CrHydA1(adt) in H _{ox}	adt ²⁻ 1		23 (0.03)	0.13 (0.02)	0.13 (0.02) 0 (10)		90 (10)					
	CN	J ⁻ 0.	90 (0.03)	0.34 (0.02)	0 (10)	119	(10)	46 (10)				
oxidized CrHydA1(pdt)	CN	J ⁻ 0.	90 (0.03)	0.34 (0.02)	0 (10)	119	(10)	46 (10)				

To estimate the possible contributions of the CN⁻ ligands in the Hox-CO state of CrHydA1(adt) to the HYSCORE spectra of our H_{ox} preparations, we recorded the ¹³C HFI parameters for both CN⁻ ligands (see Figure S1A). The CN⁻¹³C HFI parameters for both H_{ox} and H_{ox}-CO are summarized in Table S1. The magnitude of the CN⁻ ligand ¹³C HFI closely follows that of the ⁵⁷Fe HFI,¹⁴ indicating that the effective spin density on the binuclear subcluster in the H_{ox}-CO state is approximately 5 times smaller than that in the H_{ox} state, as is clearly visible in the Davies electron-nuclear double resonance (ENDOR) spectrum presented in Figure 2B. The group of Britt essentially came to the same conclusions when studying the CN⁻ HYSCORE and ENDOR signals from [FeFe] hydrogenase from Clostridium pasteurianum obtained through in vitro maturation using ¹³C- and ¹⁵N-labeled tyrosine.¹¹ As a final check, we prepared the pure H_{ox}-CO state with ¹⁵Nlabeled adt²⁻. The resulting HYSCORE spectra (shown in Figures S2–S4) display only minor $C^{14}N$ contributions that do not interfere with the H_{ox} HYSCORE signals.

In Figure 3 the X-band HYSCORE spectra of CrHydA1(adt) H_{ox} selectively labeled as ${}^{15}N$ -adt ${}^{2-}/C^{15}N^{-}$, ${}^{15}N$ -adt ${}^{2-}/C^{14}N^{-}$, and ${}^{14}N$ -adt ${}^{2-}/C^{15}N^{-}$ are presented. As expected, the HYSCORE spectra of the doubly labeled H_{ox} state (¹⁵N $adt^{2-}/C^{15}N^{-}$ (see the first column of Figure 3) are relatively simple and easy to interpret (see Table 1). In particular, the HFI of ¹⁵N-adt²⁻ is virtually isotropic ($A_{iso} = 1.7$ MHz). Since its hyperfine coupling (1.7 MHz) is less than twice the ¹⁵N Zeeman frequency at the X-band (\approx 3 MHz), the HYSCORE correlation signals show up in the (++) quadrant (i.e., the right-hand side of the two-dimensional pattern).¹⁷ Because of the isotropic nature of the HFI, the ^{15}N adt $^{2-}$ correlation signals recorded at g_1 , g_2 , and g_3 are virtually identical and show very sharp and well-defined peaks (indicated with the arrows in Figure 3). These features can be recognized even in the HYSCORE spectra of the partially labeled active site (15N $adt^{2-}/C^{14}N^{-}$ (see the second column of Figure 3). This greatly facilitates the identification of the specific ¹⁵N signal of the bridging adt²⁻ ligand. The HFI tensor of the CN⁻ ligand is much more anisotropic and not aligned with the g tensor. Because one hyperfine component has a value larger than twice

the ¹⁵N Larmor frequency at the X-band (\approx 3 MHz), the correlation patterns become very broad and also have contributions in the (+ –) quadrant (i.e., the left-hand part of the HYSCORE spectrum in Figure 3). The ¹⁵N CN⁻ HFI tensor is virtually identical to that found for oxidized CrHydA1(pdt).¹⁶ The ¹⁵N HFI parameters can now be used as a starting point for the simulation of the ¹⁵N-adt²⁻/C¹⁴N⁻ and ¹⁴N-adt²⁻/C¹⁵N⁻ HYSCORE signals (see Table 2). The obtained nuclear quadrupole interaction parameters are summarized in Table 2. It can be easily verified that the ¹⁴N coupling parameters for the CN⁻ ligand in CrHydA1(adt) and oxidized CrHydA1(pdt) are virtually identical. This suggests that the central atom of the bridging dithiolate has only a minor effect on the electronic structure of the iron core.

When we compare the ¹⁴N HFI and quadrupole interaction parameters of both the CN⁻ and adt²⁻ ligands with those previously estimated for the [FeFe] hydrogenase from Desulfovibrio desulfuricans $(DdH)^4$ (see Table S2), we must conclude that the extracted HFI parameters for DdH were inaccurate. However, the HYSCORE patterns turn out to be much more sensitive to the quadrupole parameters than to the relatively small HFI. This could explain why the quadrupole parameters of DdH (ref 4) and CrHydA1 (current study) came out the same within experimental error. The assignment of these quadrupole parameters to a bridging amine and a CN⁻ ligand formed the basis for the identification of the adt²⁻ moiety as part of the H-cluster.^{4,18} Furthermore, it should be noted that the Q-band ¹⁴N HYSCORE spectra (Figure S2) show a strong overlap of the spectral features for the adt^{2-} and CN⁻ ligands. Therefore, the Q-band HYSCORE spectra do not contribute much to the fitting of the ¹⁴N magnetic parameters of CrHydA1(adt) in the H_{ox} state. In fact, it turns out that the Q-band HYSCORE spectral features originally assigned to the ¹⁴N signal of Lys237 in our DdH study¹⁴ are actually part of the $CN^{-14}N$ signal (see Figure S2).

In conclusion, we have demonstrated that the X-band ¹⁵Nadt^{2–} HYSCORE features of CrHydA1(¹⁵N-adt) are very well defined and easy to identify (see Figure 3). We thus have presented here the very first and unique probe to monitor the presence of ¹⁵N-labeled adt^{2–} within the active site of [FeFe]

Journal of the American Chemical Society

hydrogenase. Our data should provide a solid basis to screen ¹⁵N-labeled potential precursors of adt²⁻ using the in vitro cellfree [FeFe] hydrogenase maturation methodology developed by Swartz and co-workers based on the combination of individually expressed maturases HydE, HydG, and HydF with unmaturated [FeFe] hydrogenase. The discovery of the precursor of adt²⁻, the missing link of the [FeFe] hydrogenase maturation process, will hopefully allow a complete description of this fascinating biosynthetic pathway.

ASSOCIATED CONTENT

S Supporting Information

The Supporting Information is available free of charge on the ACS Publications website at DOI: 10.1021/jacs.5b06240.

Materials and Methods, $^{13}\mathrm{C}$ interaction of the CN⁻ ligands, HYSCORE spectra of CrHydA1(adt) in the H_{ox} state, $^{14/15}\mathrm{N}$ interaction of the adt²⁻ and CN⁻ ligands, and comparison of HYSCORE spectra of DdH and CrHydA1 (PDF)

AUTHOR INFORMATION

Corresponding Authors

*wolfgang.lubitz@cec.mpg.de

*edward.reijerse@cec.mpg.de

Present Address

^{II}T.R.S.: Energy Materials Laboratory, University of East Anglia, Norwich Research Park, Norwich, Norfolk NR4 7TJ, U.K.

Notes

The authors declare no competing financial interest.

ACKNOWLEDGMENTS

This work was supported by the Max Planck Society and the DFG (DIP Project LU 315/17-1). V.A., S.R., and T.R.S. acknowledge the French National Research Agency (Labex Program, ARCANE, ANR-11-LABX-0003-01) and the Bioenergy Program of the Life Science Division of CEA. M.F. acknowledges the Fondation de l'Orangerie for individual philanthropy and its donors as well as the French State Program "Investissements d"Avenir' (Grants "LABEX DYNA-MO", ANR-11-LABX-0011).

REFERENCES

(1) Cammack, R.; Frey, M.; Robson, R. *Hydrogen as a Fuel: Learning from Nature*; Taylor & Francis: London, 2001.

(2) Vignais, P. M.; Billoud, B. Chem. Rev. 2007, 107, 4206.

(3) Lubitz, W.; Ogata, H.; Rüdiger, O.; Reijerse, E. Chem. Rev. 2014, 114, 4081.

(4) Silakov, A.; Wenk, B.; Reijerse, E.; Lubitz, W. Phys. Chem. Chem. Phys. 2009, 11, 6592.

(5) Adamska, A.; Silakov, A.; Lambertz, C.; Rüdiger, O.; Happe, T.; Reijerse, E.; Lubitz, W. Angew. Chem., Int. Ed. **2012**, *51*, 11458.

(6) Nicolet, Y.; de Lacey, A. L.; Vernede, X.; Fernandez, V. M.; Hatchikian, E. C.; Fontecilla-Camps, J. C. J. Am. Chem. Soc. 2001, 123, 1596.

(7) Berggren, G.; Adamska, A.; Lambertz, C.; Simmons, T.; Esselborn, J.; Atta, M.; Gambarelli, S.; Mouesca, J. M.; Reijerse, E.; Lubitz, W.; Happe, T.; Artero, V.; Fontecave, M. *Nature* **2013**, 499, 66.

(8) Esselborn, J.; Lambertz, C.; Adamska-Venkatesh, A.; Simmons, T.; Berggren, G.; Noth, J.; Siebel, J.; Hemschemeier, A.; Artero, V.; Reijerse, E.; Fontecave, M.; Lubitz, W.; Happe, T. *Nat. Chem. Biol.* **2013**, *9*, 607.

(9) Shepard, E. M.; Mus, F.; Betz, J. N.; Byer, A. S.; Duffus, B. R.; Peters, J. W.; Broderick, J. B. *Biochemistry* **2014**, *53*, 4090.

(10) Kuchenreuther, J. M.; Myers, W. K.; Stich, T. A.; George, S. J.; NejatyJahromy, Y.; Swartz, J. R.; Britt, R. D. Science **2013**, 342, 472.

(11) Myers, W. K.; Stich, T. A.; Suess, D. L. M.; Kuchenreuther, J. M.; Swartz, J. R.; Britt, R. D. J. Am. Chem. Soc. **2014**, *136*, 12237.

(12) Kuchenreuther, J. M.; Myers, W. K.; Suess, D. L. M.; Stich, T. A.; Pelmenschikov, V.; Shiigi, S. A.; Cramer, S. P.; Swartz, J. R.; Britt, R. D.; George, S. J. *Science* **2014**, *343*, 424.

(13) Suess, D. L. M.; Bürstel, I.; De La Paz, L.; Kuchenreuther, J. M.; Pham, C. C.; Cramer, S. P.; Swartz, J. R.; Britt, R. D. *Proc. Natl. Acad. Sci. U. S. A.* **2015**, *112*, 11455.

(14) Silakov, A.; Reijerse, E. J.; Albracht, S. P. J.; Hatchikian, E. C.; Lubitz, W. J. Am. Chem. Soc. 2007, 129, 11447.

(15) Silakov, A.; Reijerse, E. J.; Lubitz, W. Eur. J. Inorg. Chem. 2011, 1056.

(16) Adamska-Venkatesh, A.; Simmons, T. R.; Siebel, J. F.; Artero, V.; Fontecave, M.; Reijerse, E.; Lubitz, W. Phys. Chem. Chem. Phys. 2015, 17, 5421.

(17) Schweiger, A.; Jeschke, G. Principles of Pulse Electron Paramagnetic Resonance; Oxford University Press: Oxford, U.K., 2001. (18) Erdem, O. F.; Schwartz, L.; Stein, M.; Silakov, A.; Kaur-Ghumaan, S.; Huang, P.; Ott, S.; Reijerse, E. J.; Lubitz, W. Angew. Chem., Int. Ed. 2011, 50, 1439.